

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

POLYMER80, INC.,

**Plaintiff,**

v.

GLOCK, INC., *et al.*,

## Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-CV-00617-MMD-CLB

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE MOTION TO  
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL**

[ECF No. 71]

10 The court has reviewed the motion of Edmund J. Ferdinand, III, John Olsen, and  
11 Alexander Malbin of Ferdinand IP, LLC dba Ferdinand IP Law Group's motion to withdraw  
12 as counsel and notice of in camera submission. (ECF No. 71.) Counsel failed to serve a  
13 copy of the motion on the Plaintiff, Polymer80, Inc. Therefore, the motion to withdraw as  
14 counsel is **DENIED without prejudice.** (*Id.*) Counsel may refile the motion with certificate  
15 of service indicating service on Plaintiff. The Court will consider the motion after the time  
16 for filing an opposition has expired.

17        In regard to *in camera* documents, counsel shall follow the dictates of Local Rule  
18 IA 10-5 concerning the filing of sealed documents rather than submit documents *in*  
camera.

**DATED:** March 30, 2022

## **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

21